



Malpractice Policy

202~~43~~/2~~54~~

This procedure is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations.

Centre Name:	Orchard Mead Academy
Centre Number:	25224
Date procedures first created:	7 th February 2024
Approved by:	Subrina Johal
Review by:	Sam Lane
Date of next review:	1 st February 202 65

Key staff involved in ~~internal appeals~~ Malpractice procedures.

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	Subrina Johal
SLT member(s)	Mrs Claire Harley / <u>Mrs Beatrice Finn</u>
Exams manager	Mrs Samantha Lane
ALS Lead/SENCo	Mrs Emma Topley
Other Staff:	Vaughan Stone — Lead invigilator

Formatted Table

This policy is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that any malpractice at Orchard mead academy is managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Reference in the policy to **GR** and **SMPP** relate to relevant sections of the current JCQ publications **General Regulations for Approved Centres** and **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**.

Introduction

What is malpractice and maladministration?

'Malpractice' and 'maladministration' are related concepts, the common theme of which is that they involve a failure to follow the rules of an examination or assessment. This policy and procedure uses the word 'malpractice' to cover both 'malpractice' and 'maladministration' and it means any act, default or practice which is:

- a breach of the Regulations
- a breach of awarding body requirements regarding how a qualification should be delivered
- a failure to follow established procedures in relation to a qualification

which:

- gives rise to prejudice to candidates, and/or
- compromises public confidence in qualifications, and/or
- compromises, attempts to ~~compromise~~ compromise, or may compromise the process of assessment, the integrity of any qualification or the validity of a result or certificate, and/or
- damages the authority, reputation or credibility of any awarding body or centre or any officer, employee or agent of any awarding body or centre (SMPP 1)

Candidate malpractice

'Candidate malpractice' means malpractice by a candidate in connection with any examination or assessment, including the preparation and authentication of any controlled assessments, coursework or non-examination assessments, the presentation of any practical work, the compilation of portfolios of assessment evidence and the writing of any examination paper. (SMPP 2)

Centre staff malpractice

'Centre staff malpractice' means malpractice committed by:

- a member of staff, contractor (whether employed under a contract of employment or a contract for services) or a volunteer at a centre; or
- an individual appointed in another capacity by a centre such as an invigilator, a Communication Professional, a Language Modifier, a practical assistant, a prompter, a reader or a scribe (SMPP 2)

Suspected malpractice

For the purposes of this document, suspected malpractice means all alleged or suspected incidents of malpractice (regardless of how the incident might be categorised, as described in SMPP, section 19). –(SMPP 2)

Purpose of the policy

To confirm Orchard mead academy:

- has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre ~~and details~~ detailing how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body; it must also acknowledge the use of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risk of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice) (GR 5.3)

General principles

In accordance with the regulations Orchard mead academy will:

- Take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after examinations have taken place (GR 5.11)
- Inform the awarding body immediately of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration, involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation (GR 5.11)
- As required by an awarding body, gather evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice (which includes maladministration) in accordance with the JCQ publication **Suspected Malpractice - Policies**

and Procedures and provide such information and advice as the awarding body may reasonably require (GR 5.11)

Preventing malpractice

Orchard mead academy has in place:

- Robust processes to prevent and identify malpractice, as outlined in section 3 of the JCQ publication **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**. (SMPP 4.3)
- This includes ensuring that all staff involved in the delivery of assessments and examinations understand the requirements for conducting these as specified in the following JCQ documents and any further awarding body guidance: *General Regulations for Approved Centres 2023-2024; Instructions for conducting examinations (ICE) 2023-2024; Instructions for conducting coursework 2023-2024; Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments 2023-2024; Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 2023-2024; A guide to the special consideration process 2023-2024; Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures 2023-2024; Plagiarism in Assessments; AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications; A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes 2023-2024 (SMPP 3.3.1)*

- General Regulations for Approved Centres 2024-2-25
- Instructions for conducting examinations (ICE) 2024-2025
- Instructions for conducting coursework 2024-2025
- Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments 2024-2025
- Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 2024-2025
- A guide to the special consideration process 2024-2025
- Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures 2024-2025 (this document)
- Plagiarism in Assessments
- AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications
- Post Results Services June 2024 and November 2024
- A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes 2024-2025

(SMPP 3.3.1)

Additional information:

Not Applicable

AI use in assessments

Informing and advising candidates how to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments

Candidates are warned about the risks associated with plagiarism and the use of AI in the autumn term of year 10 and 11, this is when they receive their JCQ information for candidates and all other student information that is relevant to the exams process. Suspected malpractice should be reported to the exams officer, and the SLT line lead for exams as soon as teachers are aware of it, it will then be down to the exams officer, SLT line lead for exams and the head of centre how it will be dealt with, for example, if the suspected malpractice has taken place before a student signs the declaration sheet on their coursework/NEA it will be dealt with at school level, however, if the student has signed to say that it is their own work this must be reported to the awarding body and the malpractice procedure must be followed.

Subject teachers regularly remind students about the use of AI, and how it must be referenced, if it is used, by referring to the AI infographic provided by JCQ.

Identification and reporting of malpractice

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Body (Calibri)

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Body (Calibri), Font color: Black

Formatted: Normal, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: List Paragraph, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Font: 14 pt, Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Escalating suspected malpractice issues

- Once suspected malpractice is identified, any member of staff at the centre can report it using the appropriate channels (SMPP 4.3)

Suspected malpractice should be reported to the exams officer, and the SLT line lead for exams as soon as teachers are aware of it, it will then be down to the exams officer, SLT line lead for exams and the head of centre how it will be dealt with, for example, if the suspected malpractice has taken place before a student signs the declaration sheet on their coursework/NEA it will be dealt with at school level, however, if the student has signed to say that it is their own work this must be reported to the awarding body and the malpractice procedure must be followed.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or numbering

Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body

- The head of centre will notify the appropriate awarding body immediately of all alleged, suspected, or actual incidents of malpractice, using the appropriate forms, and will conduct any investigation and gathering of information in accordance with the requirements of the JCQ publication **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures** (SMPP 4.1.3)
- The head of centre will ensure that where a candidate who is a child/vulnerable or an adult at risk is the subject of a malpractice investigation, the candidate's parent/carer/ appropriate adult is kept informed of the progress of the investigation (SMPP 4.1.3)
- Form JCQ/M1 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of candidate malpractice. Form JCQ/M2 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of suspected staff malpractice/maladministration (SMPP 4.4, 4.6)
- Malpractice by a candidate discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non- examination assessment component prior to the candidate signing the declaration of authentication need not be reported to the awarding body but will be dealt with in accordance with the centre's internal procedures. The only exception to this is where the awarding body's confidential assessment material has potentially been breached. The breach will be reported to the awarding body immediately (SMPP 4.5)
- If, in the view of the investigator, there is sufficient evidence to implicate an individual in malpractice, that individual (a candidate or a member of staff) will be informed of the rights of accused individuals (SMPP 5.33)
- Once the information gathering has concluded, the head of centre (or other appointed information-gatherer) will submit a written report summarising the information obtained and actions taken to the relevant awarding body, accompanied by the information obtained during the course of their enquiries (5.35)
- Form JCQ/M1 will be used when reporting candidate cases; for centre staff, form JCQ/M3 will be used (SMPP 5.37)
- The awarding body will decide on the basis of the report, and any supporting documentation, whether there is evidence of malpractice and if any further investigation is required. The head of centre will be informed accordingly (SMPP 5.40)

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Additional information:

- Not applicable

Communicating malpractice decisions

Once a decision has been made, it will be communicated in writing to the head of centre as soon as possible. The head of centre will communicate the decision to the individuals concerned and pass on details of any sanctions and action in cases where this is indicated. The head of centre will also inform the individuals if they have the right to appeal. (SMPP 11.1)

Additional information:

- Not applicable

Appeals against decisions made in cases of malpractice

Orchard mead academy will:

- Provide the individual with information on the process and timeframe for submitting an appeal, where relevant
- Refer to further information and follow the process provided in the JCQ publication **A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes**

~~Additional information~~

Changes 2024/2025

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Body (Calibri), 11 pt

Under headings **What is malpractice**, **Candidate malpractice**, **Suspected Malpractice** amended to reflect slight wording changes in SMPP.

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Body (Calibri)

Changes 2023/2024

Under heading **Purpose of the policy**: To confirm Orchard mead academy: has in place a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre and details how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Body (Calibri), 11 pt

(Amended to reflect the change in GR 5.3) To confirm Orchard mead academy: has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre detailing how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body; it must also acknowledge the use of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice)

Under heading **Purpose of the policy**: (Changed) The purpose of this policy is to confirm how Orchard mead academy manages malpractice under normal delivery arrangements in accordance with the regulations (To) To confirm Orchard mead academy has in place a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre and details how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body (GR 5.3)

Under heading **General Principles**, bullet point amended to reflect the change in GR 5.11: take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after assessments have taken place

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Body (Calibri)

Under heading **General Principles**: Moved subsections **Candidate malpractice** and **Centre staff malpractice** from this section and added under **Introduction** section

Under heading **Preventing Malpractice**: (Added) A new bullet point: This includes ensuring that all staff involved in the delivery of assessments and examinations understand the requirements for conducting these as specified in the following JCQ documents and any further awarding body guidance:

- ~~General Regulations for Approved Centres 2023-2024~~
- ~~Instructions for conducting examinations (ICE) 2023-2024~~
- ~~Instructions for conducting coursework 2023-2024~~
- ~~Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments 2023-2024~~
- ~~Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 2023-2024~~
- ~~A guide to the special consideration process 2023-2024~~
- ~~Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures 2023-2024~~
- ~~Plagiarism in Assessments~~
- ~~AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications~~
- ~~A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes 2023-2024 (SMPP 3.3.1)~~

(Added) New subheading **Informing and advising candidates** and an insert field to be populated according to the centre's process

Under heading **Identification and reporting of malpractice**: (Added) New subheading **Escalating suspected malpractice issues** and

- Once suspected malpractice is identified, any member of staff at the centre can report it using the appropriate channels (SMPP 4.3)
- an insert field to be populated according to the centre's process

(Added) New subheading **Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body**

(Added) New bullet point: The head of centre will ensure that where a candidate who is a child/vulnerable adult is the subject of a malpractice investigation, the candidate's parent/carer/ appropriate adult is kept informed of the progress of the investigation (SMPP 4.1.3)

(Changed) SMPP reference: If, in the view of the investigator, there is sufficient evidence to implicate an individual in malpractice, that individual (a candidate or a member of staff) will be informed of the rights of accused individuals (SMPP 5.32) (To) If, in the view of the investigator, there is sufficient evidence to implicate an individual in malpractice, that individual (a candidate or a member of staff) will be informed of the rights of accused individuals (SMPP 5.33)

(Changed) Once the information gathering has concluded, the head of centre (or other appointed information-gatherer) will submit a written report summarising the case to the relevant awarding body, accompanied by the information obtained during the course of their enquiries (SMPP 5.34) (To) Once the

information gathering has concluded, the head of centre (or other appointed information-gatherer) will submit a written report summarising the information ~~obtained~~obtained, and actions taken to the relevant awarding body, accompanied by the information obtained during the course of their enquiries (SMPP 5.35)

(Changed) SMPP reference: Form JCQ/M1 will be used when reporting candidate cases; for centre staff, form JCQ/M3 will be used (SMPP 5.36) (To) Form JCQ/M1 will be used when reporting candidate cases; for centre staff, form JCQ/M3 will be used (SMPP 5.37)

(Changed) SMPP reference: The awarding body will decide on the basis of the report, and any supporting documentation, whether there is evidence of malpractice and if any further investigation is required. The head of centre will be informed accordingly (SMPP 5.39) (To) The awarding body will decide on the basis of the report, and any supporting documentation, whether there is evidence of malpractice and if any further investigation is required. The head of centre will be informed accordingly (SMPP 5.40)

Under heading **Appeals against decisions made in cases of malpractice**:(Changed) Provide the individual with information on the process for submitting an appeal, where relevant (To) Provide the individual with information on the process and timeframe for submitting an appeal, where relevant

Under each relevant section added **Additional information** fields to be populated by the user if applicable

Centre-specific changes